

Rezoning of land at 11-19 Centenary Road Merrylands			
Proposal Title :	Rezoning of land at 11-19 Centenary Road Merrylands		
Proposal Summary :	To rezone land at 11-19 Centenary Road and 15 Wyreema Street, Merrylands from R3 Medium Density Residential zone to R4 High Density Residential zone, increase the maximum height of buildings on the subject site from 11 metres to 15 metres, and increase the maximum floor space ratio from 0.85:1 to 1.2:1.		
PP Number	PP_2016_HOLRO_004_00	Dop File No :	16/04365
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	14-Mar-2016	LGA covered :	Holroyd
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Holroyd City Council
State Electorate :	GRANVILLE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 11	-19 Centenary Road and 15 Wyree	ma Street	
Suburb: Me	errylands City :		Postcode : 2160
Land Parcel : Lo	ts 1 and 2 DP 597975; Lots 19, 20,	21, 22, 23 and 24 DP 2020	
DoP Planning Offi	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Tessa Parmeter		
Contact Number :	0298601555		
Contact Email :	tessa.parmeter@planning.nsw.g	jov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Karen Hughes		8
Contact Number :	0298409808		
Contact Email :	karen.hughes@holroyd.nsw.gov	.au	
DoP Project Manager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Terry Doran		Vie.
Contact Number :	0298601579		
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.a	au	
Land Release Dat	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

Rezoning of land at 11	-19 Centenary Road Me	rrylands	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	48
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	As far as the regional team been complied with.	is aware, the NSW Government	Lobbyist Code of Conduct has
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	As far as the regional team registered lobbyists.	is aware, there has been no me	etings or communications with
Supporting notes	441 -		
Internal Supporting Notes :	Political donations disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requ the public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.		
		nts under the new legislation are	
	The term relevant planning	application means:	12
	- A formal request to the Mi of an environmental plannin	nister, a council or the Director- ng instrument"	General to initiate the making
	<b>.</b>	e specifies that a person who main that a person who main that a person who main that is required to disclose all repo	akes a public submission to the rtable political donations (if
	The Department has not rec	ceived any disclosure statement	ts for this Planning Proposal.
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	it		2)
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	Merrylands, from zone R	3 Medium Density Residential to	ary Road and 15 Wyreema Street, o zone R4 High Density Residential residential flat building containing
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)	(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	It is proposed to amend	the Holroyd Local Environment	al Plan 2013 to amend the planning

٠

	11-19 Centenary Road	s at 11-19 Centenary Road and 15 Wyreema Street, Merrylands by:
		om zone R3 Medium Density Residential to R4 High Density
	- increase the maxim	um height of buildings from 11 metres to 15 metres; and pace ratio from 0.85:1 to 1.2:1.
	- Land Zoning map sl	nap sheet HOB_006; and
Justification - s5	5 (2)(c)	а.
a) Has Council's str	ategy been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S 117 directions	identified by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones
	ector General's agreement	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land
		7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
	eneral's agreement required?	
,	tandard Instrument (LEPs) O	
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?		SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
		SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	5	
Have inconsistencie	es with items a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	The following Section	ion 117 Directions apply to the planning proposal:
		on 3.1 - Residential Zones es as the planning proposal affects land within an existing
	density on the site,	osal is consistent with this Direction as it increases the residential , broadens the choice of building types on the site, and is located n efficient use of, existing infrastructure and services.
		on 3.4 Integrating land use and transport es as the planning proposal will alter a zone relating to urban land.
	- Improving Transp 2001), and	osal is consistent with the aims, objectives and principles of: ort Choice - Guidelines for planning and development (DUAP
	- The Right Place for	or Business and Services - Planning Policy (DUAP 2001).
	proposed change o Residential zone is	anning proposal is consistent with this Direction as the site of the of zone from R3 Medium Density Residential zone to R4 High Density within walking distance of the Merrylands West local centre, local s, and bus services to Merrylands Station.
	The Direction appli	on 4.3 - Flood Prone Land ies as a corner of the site is affected by the 1:100 Annual Exceedence event. This flood affectation does not affect the entire site, only a

÷.

The remainder of the site, which is not identified as flood affected, can be developed and the flood affected portion may be included within the building setback areas and not developed.

It is considered that the planning proposal is inconsistent with this Direction. Any inconsistency is of minor significance. It is therefore recommended that the Secretary's delegate agree to the inconsistency with this Direction.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant SEPPs, except for:

SEPP No. 55 - Remediation of Land

Under clause 6 of the SEPP, the relevant planning authority must not permit a change of zone, unless the planning authority has considered:

(1) whether the land is contaminated;

- (2) if the land is contaminated, the planning authority must be satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state, or will be suitable, after remediation; and
- (3) if the land requires remediation to be made suitable for any purpose for which land in that zone is permitted to be used, the planning authority is satisfied that the land will be remediated before the land is used for that purpose.

The planning proposal intends to rezone the land from zone R3 Medium Density Residential to zone R4 High Density Residential. While the change of zone is from a residential zone to another residential zone, the site is currently not used for residential purposes and is a registered contaminated site due to past uses and specifically referenced underground (fuel storage tanks).

These storage tanks and the surrounding soil have been removed, and ongoing monitoring of residual contamination has been undertaken.

It is recommended that Council be advised in the Gateway determination letter it ensures that the development of this site is consistent with the requirements of SEPP 55.

### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

#### Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The proposed amendment to the Holroyd Local Environmental Plan 2013 is a mapped based amendment. It is intended to amend Holroyd Local Environmental Plan 2013 map sheets:

- Land zoning map sheet LZN\_006;
- Height of Building map sheet HOB\_006; and

- Floor Space Ratio map sheet FSR\_006.

Council has included in the planning proposal extracts of these maps identifying the current planning controls for the site and the surrounding area, and the proposed controls for the site and the surrounding area.

It is recommended that Council be advised in the Gateway determination and letter to prepare maps consistent with the technical mapping guidelines when finalising the plan.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : It has been proposed to exhibit the planning proposal for 28 days in accordance with Council's community consultation requirements.

# Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Overall adequacy of		e
	t the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	There is adequate information to assess the planning proposal.	
Proposal Assessment		2
Principal LEP:		
Due Date : April 2013		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Holroyd Local Envrionmental Plan was notified on 5 April 2013.	
	The planning proposal is consistent with Holroyd Local Environmental	Pian 2013.
Assessment Criteria	a	
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is not the direct result of a strategic study or re	port.
• •	The amendment to Holroyd Local Environmental Plan 2013, entailing:	
	* the rezoning of the site from zone R3 Medium Density Residential to	zone R4
	High Density Residential, * an increase of height from 11m to 15m, and	
	* an increase of floor space ratio from 0.85:1 to 1.2:1	
	is the best means to achieve the intended outcome to redevelop the sit	e for a 4 storey
	residential flat building providing up to 48 dwellings.	-
Consistency with strategic planning	STATE STRATEGIC PLANNING FRAMEWORK	
framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney.	
	planning proposal is consistent with a Plan for Growing Sydney as it w	ill:
	- accelerate the housing supply across Sydney;	a jaha
	<ul> <li>accelerate urban renewal across Sydney by providing homes closer t</li> <li>improve housing choice to suit different needs and lifestyles; and,</li> </ul>	o jobs;
	- revitalise existing suburbs.	
	LOCAL STRATEGIC PLANNING FRAMEWORK	
2	The planning proposal is consistent with Council's local strategic plan	
	Community Strategic Plan 2013". In particular, the planning proposal is	s consistent as:
	- it will provide housing in proximity to an existing urban centre; and	
	<ul> <li>- it is in proximity to public transport modes (direct access to bus stop connecting to train stations); and to major roads, which connect the si</li> </ul>	
	City Centre, Parramatta and other centres for employment and service	
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL	
	VEGETATION	
	The planning proposal does not affect any critical habitats, population	s or ecological
۵. ۵	communities.	
	FLOODING	
	It has been identified that the small corner of the site fronting Centena	
	Wyreema Street, is affected by the 1:100 Annual Exceedence Probabili	ty (AEP) event. The
5	remainder of the site is not flood affected.	с
	Page 5 of 9	26 Apr 2016 01:36

It is considered that this minor flood affectation can be addressed at the development application stage, as flood level controls would be required to be incorporated as part of the design of any structure on the site.

#### LAND CONTAMINATION

It has been identified that the site is a registered contaminated site due to past uses and specifically reported underground (fuel) storage tanks.

These storage tanks and the immediate surrounding soil were removed in 2012. Periodic monitoring of residual contamination is being undertaken in accordance with the Remediation Action Plan (RAP) for the site.

A revised RAP has been prepared to reflect the proposed residential uses on the site. Council is satisfied that this revised RAP is acceptable for the proposed future residential use of the site.

It is recommended that Council be advised in the Gateway determination letter it ensures that the requirements of Clause 6 of SEPP55 are met.

#### TRAFFIC AND PARKING

The proposed development will result in increased traffic movement along local roads. It is not expected to result in a significant increase in traffic movement given the small scale of the proposal and the existing traffic volume.

It is recommended that Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services be consulted during the public exhibition period.

The future redevelopment on the site will include onsite parking. It is considered that the proposed development will have minimal impact to on-street parking. This matter would be further addressed in any future development application on the site.

#### SOCIAL

#### AMENITY AND BUILT FORM

The development concept informing the planning proposal indicates the proposed future development of the site would include a single building of 4 storeys along the Centenary Road frontage, with open space behind the building (to the east).

The proposal is a logical extension of R4 High Density Residential zoned land to the south, however, to the east of the site, the land is currently zoned R3 Medium Density Residential, and beyond this R2 Low Density Residential.

To address any concerns of amenity for the low density residential, it is proposed to insert a floor space ratio at 1.2:1, which is lower than the FSR applied to surrounding R4 High Density Residential zoned areas to the south of the subject site.

The lower FSR would allow for a design that that may allow for greater public space or facade variation that could mitigate the perceived bulk and scale of the building.

The amenity and built form issues would be further addressed and considered in any future development application.

#### ECONOMIC IMPACTS

The future development on the site for a residential flat building will be as a result of the cessation of the St Vincent de Paul retail shop and storage facility. The proposed rezoning is to occur as this facility is intended to be relocated in the local area. The relocation of this facility would mean it is not a loss of an employment generating use, instead a shift in location.

It is considered that there are no significant economic impacts as a result of the proposed rezoning.

Rezoning of land at 11	zoning of land at 11-19 Centenary Road Merrylands			
Assessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consu Period :	ultation 28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Transport for NSW Sydney Water	- Roads and Maritime Services		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes			
If no, provide reasons :	If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(I	Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :	If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional si	Identify any additional studies, if required.			
If Other, provide reasons :				
Identify any internal consultations, if required :				
No internal consultatio	No internal consultation required			
Is the provision and fund	Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No			
If Yes, reasons :	If Yes, reasons :			

# Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
signed letter and checklist - providing PP to Dept.pdf	Proposal	No
Planning Proposal - 11-19 Centenary Rd Merrylands.pdf	Proposal	Yes
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - proposed mapping amendments.pdf	Мар	Yes
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - Council resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - Remediation Action Plan.pdf	Study	Yes
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - Traffic assessment.pdf	Study	Yes
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - Council letter regarding flood levels.pdf	Study	Yes
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - Groundwater monitoring.pdf	Study	Yes
Letter from applicant requesting planning proposal for land at 11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS.pdf	Proposal	Νο
11-19 CENTENARY ROAD, MERRYLANDS - initial planning proposal prepared by applicant.pdf	Proposal	Νο

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

 S.117 directions:
 3.1 Residential Zones

 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 4.3 Flood Prone Land

 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

 Additional Information :
 DELEGATION OF THE GREATER SYDNEY COMMISSIONS PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS

 This planning proposal is considered to be minor in nature. It is accordingly recommended that authorisation of the Greater Sydney Commission's plan making functions be issued to Council in this instance.

INCONSISTENCY WITH SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

It is recommended that the Director, Sydney Region West, as delegate of the Greater Sydney Commission, determine that the planning proposal's inconsistency with Section 117 Direction 4.3 - Flood Prone Land is of minor significance and is supported in this instance.

#### RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:

- 1. A revised Land Zoning Map. Height of Buildings Map and Floor Space Ratio Map, consistent with the Department's "Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Data and Maps" is to be submitted to the Planning Portal prior to finalisation of the proposal.
- 2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
- (a)the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and

(b)the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).

3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:

• Transport for NSW – Roads and Maritime Services, and

Sydney Water

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.

- 4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
- 5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Supporting Reasons 🗟

The planning proposal will enable the redevelopment on the site for a 4 storey residential flat building that will provide up to 48 dwellings in the Merrylands West area close to public transport, shops and services.

Page 8 of 9

Rezoning of land at 11-19 Centenary Road Merrylands			
Signature:	Row		
Printed Name:	<u>     1 DORAN</u> Date: 26/4/16		